UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Jane Fenton,	: : :
Plaintiff,	: Civil Action No.:
v. Law Offices of Howard Lee Schiff, P.C.,	: : :
Defendant.	: :

COMPLAINT

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Jane Fenton, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collections Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business here and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred here.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Jane Fenton (hereafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Quincy, Massachusetts, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

- 4. Defendant, Law Offices of Howard Lee Schiff, P.C. (hereafter "Defendant"), is a law firm located at 510 Tolland Street, PO Box 280245, East Hartford, Connecticut 06128, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 5. The names of the individual collectors are unknown but they will be added by amendment when determined through discovery.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation that was primarily for family, personal or household purposes, and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 7. Thereafter, the debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Defendant for collection from Plaintiff.
- 8. The Defendant then began attempts to collect this debt from the Plaintiff, which was a "communication" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
 - 9. The Defendant contacted the Plaintiff and demanded payment on the debt.
- 10. The Defendant did not send the Plaintiff a verification letter after the initial contact.
- 11. The Plaintiff requested that the Defendant verify the debt a number of times, but the Defendant has yet to send the Plaintiff verification of the debt.
- 12. The Plaintiff sent the Defendant written requests asking that the Defendant stop contacting her but the Defendant ignored her requests.
- 13. The Plaintiff is taking anti-anxiety medication due to her high stress levels and is seeing a therapist to cope with the persistent calls.

14. The Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as a result of these illegal collection communications in the form of humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration, embarrassment, amongst other negative emotions, as well as suffering from unjustified and abusive invasions of personal privacy at the Plaintiff's home and workplace.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 16. The Defendant contacted the Plaintiff at a place and during a time known to be inconvenient for the Plaintiff, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(1).
- 17. The Defendant failed to report that the debt was disputed to the credit bureaus, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8).
- 18. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 19. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the name of the original creditor to whom the debt was owed, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2).
- 20. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt within thirty days, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3).
- 21. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice informing the Plaintiff of a right to have verification and judgment mailed to the Plaintiff, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4).

- 22. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice containing the name and address of the original creditor, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5).
- 23. The Defendant continued collection efforts even though the debt had not been validated, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).
- 24. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 25. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, M.G.L. c. 93A § 2, et seq.

- 26. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 27. The Defendant employed unfair or deceptive acts to collect the debt, in violation of M.G.L. c. 93A § 2.
- 28. Defendant's failure to comply with these provisions constitutes an unfair or deceptive act under M.G.L. c. 93A § 11 and, as such, the Plaintiff is entitled to double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendant;
- 2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendant;

- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against Defendant;
- 4. Double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to M.G.L.c. 93A § 3(A);
- 5. Actual damages from Defendant for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for Plaintiff;
- 6. Punitive damage; and
- 7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: June 4, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Servei Lemberg

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C.

11/0 Summer Street, 3rd Floor

Stamford, &T 06905

Velephone: (203) 653-2250 (877) 795-3666

Attorneys for Plaintiffs B.B.O. No. 650671